

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/853,217	05/11/2001	Douglas E. Weiss	55944USA9A.002	2 6357		
32692	7590 10/03/2005	EXAMINER				
3M INNOV PO BOX 33	/ATIVE PROPERTIES	TSOY, ELENA				
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1762			

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A1142 51		I A	$+ \angle$		
		Application No		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/853,217		WEISS ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
The MAIL INC DATE of this are		Elena Tsoy		1762	<u> </u>		
The MAILING DATE of this co	mmunication ap _l	pears on the cove	er sheet with the d	correspondence a	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the pafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the period for reply specified above is less that if NO period for reply is specified above, the material in the period for reply within the set or extended period. - Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.3 Status	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 this communication. n thirty (30) days, a repl ximum statutory period for reply will, by statute months after the mailin	36(a). In no event, how y within the statutory m will apply and will expire to cause the application	vever, may a reply be tin inimum of thirty (30) day a SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered tim the mailing date of this D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on 13	September 2005					
2a) This action is FINAL .		is action is non-					
3)☐ Since this application is in co	<i>,</i> —			rosecution as to t	he merits is		
closed in accordance with th Disposition of Claims	e practice under	Ex parte Quayle	, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.	ne ments is		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 18-22</u> is/ai	re pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-2	22 is/are withdrav	vn from consider	ation.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed	l .		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.				,	•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objecte	d to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/o	r election require	ement.				
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to	by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)∏ acce	pted or b)☐ objec	ted to by the Exa	miner.			
Applicant may not request that				* *			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correcti				oved by the Exami	ner.		
If approved, corrected drawings	· .	•	ction.				
12)☐ The oath or declaration is obje	-	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 1							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a		n priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ Nor	ne of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the p	riority document	s have been rec	eived.				
2. Certified copies of the p	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified of application from the* See the attached detailed Office	International Bu	reau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		l Stage		
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for domesti	c priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisiona	al application)). **	
a) ☐ The translation of the fore 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-		4) 5) 5. 6) -5.	Interview Summary Notice of Informal F Other:	(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (P	ρ(s) · , ΓΟ-152)		
.s. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Ac	tion Summary		Part of P	aper No. 0905		

Application/Control Number: 09/853,217 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/13/2005 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

Amendment filed on 9/13/2005 has been entered. Claims 16 and 17 have been cancelled. Claims 1-15, and 18-22 are pending in the application. Claims 18-22 are withdrawn from consideration as directed to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weiss et al (WO 00/04055) in view of Loda (US 4,163,172), Mukohyama et al (US 4,886,840) and Botman et al (Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B 139) for the reasons of record set forth in paragraph 4 of the Office Action mailed on 2/14/2005 because:

Application/Control Number: 09/853,217

single phase e-beam polymerization.

Art Unit: 1762

(i) Weiss et al expressly teach that it is believed that, in contrast to prior art e-beam polymerization producing short-chain, branched, highly crosslinked polymeric structures (See page 3, lines 8-12), by conducting e-beam polymerization at temperatures below 20°C, the rate of polymer chain propagation is increasingly favored over the rate of termination, with the effect of producing polymers with a higher gel content and higher conversion (See page 11, lines 9-13) to provide the necessary balancing of viscous and elastic properties required for a pressure-sensitive adhesive (See page 2, lines 3-10) by producing long-chain polymers with limited crosslinking over a broad range of coated thicknesses and with high conversion (See page 3, lines 21-25). As described in the Applicants' disclosure on page 2, lines 3-7, and page 6, lines 20-21), e-beam polymerization produces highly gelled polymers of adequate chain lengths between crosslinks over a broad range of coated thicknesses only when it is carried out heterogeneously in a single phase in contrast to homogeneous e-beam polymerization which produces short-chain, branched, highly crosslinked polymeric structures (See specification, page 4, lines 8-32). Therefore, e-beam polymerization of Weiss et al is inherently a heterogeneous

Page 3

(ii) As was discussed in the Office Action mailed on 2/14/2005, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have determined the <u>optimum</u> values of the relevant <u>dose per pulse</u> parameters within a range of 0.92 Gy per pulse of Botman et al to 75 Gy per pulse of Mukohyama et al, <u>pulses per second</u> parameters including those within claimed range of 500-3,000 when used electron beams of 0.92 Gy per pulse of Botman et al to 75 Gy per pulse of Mukohyama et al and the optimum values of the relevant residence time parameters (including those of claimed invention) in <u>Weiss et al</u> through routine experimentation to *provide the*

Application/Control Number: 09/853,217

Art Unit: 1762

Page 4

necessary balancing of viscous and elastic properties required for a pressure-sensitive adhesive by producing polymers having high molecular weight lengths between crosslinks.

Therefore, since e-polymerization of Weiss et al in view of Loda, Botman et al and Mukohyama et al is carried out at temperatures below 20°C and dose/pulse and pulse rate within optimum ranges which include claimed ranges of low dose/pulse and high pulse rate, their e-polymerization would proceed *heterogeneously* in a single phase since, according to the Applicants' disclosure, e-beam polymerization at temperatures below 20°C and dose/pulse and pulse rate within claimed ranges proceeds heterogeneously in a single phase (See specification, page 6, lines 2-3, 14-22).

(iii) According to Weiss et al, conducting e-beam polymerization at <u>temperatures below</u>

20°C and a predetermined total dose with <u>any mode</u> of applying e-beam, achieves long chain polymers and provides the necessary balancing of viscous and elastic properties required for a pressure-sensitive adhesive. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have reasonable expectation of success of achieving <u>at least</u> the same results in Weiss et al in view of Loda,

Botman et al and Mukohyama et al with *optimum* <u>dose/pulse and pulse rate</u> parameters.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicants' arguments filed 9/13/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons discussed above.

Art Unit: 1762

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elena Tsoy whose telephone number is 571-272-1429. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 9:00AM - 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-142323. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elena Tsoy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762

September 29, 2005

ELENA TSOY PRIMARY EXAMINER